

REMARKS

Claims 5 to 10 are pending in the present application. It is respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable, and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Claims 5 to 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2, as assertedly indefinite. While Applicant does not necessarily agree with the merits of this rejection, claims 5 to 10 have been amended herein without prejudice, thereby obviating the present rejection.

In this regard, the claims have been amended to clarify that the term “range” in the claims refers to a range of coverage area. Further, absolute terms of “short” and “long” have been removed. Instead, only the corresponding relative terms of “shorter” and “longer” remain. The recitation in the claims of a first antenna and a second antenna, where the first antenna has a broader and/or shorter range of coverage area than that of the second antenna clearly demarcates the metes and bounds of the scope of that which is claimed, since the claims clearly require two antennae and further require that, regardless of the value of the range of coverage area of the first antenna and regardless of the unit of measurement used for measuring the value of the range of coverage area, the second antenna’s range must be narrower and longer than whatever the range of the first antenna is. For example, if the range of the first antenna is measured at 10 feet in length and 15 feet in width, then the second antenna would have to have a range that is less than 15 feet in width and longer than 10 feet in length.

Accordingly, the claims are clear, give rise to no ambiguity, and are therefore definite. Withdrawal of the indefiniteness rejection of claims 5 to 10 is therefore respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 5 to 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the indefiniteness rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2. As noted above, the claims have been amended to overcome the indefiniteness rejection, so that claims 5 to 10 are in condition for immediate allowance.

Accordingly, all of pending claims 5 to 10 are allowable.

Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of the claims as previously presented in a continuation patent application. Further, any disclaimer that may have occurred during the prosecution of this application is expressly rescinded as regards any subsequently filed patent application.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all of pending claims 5 to 10 are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejections and objections be withdrawn. Prompt reconsideration and allowance of the present application are therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 8, 2008

By: /Gerard A. Messina/
Gerard A. Messina
(Reg. No. 35,952)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646